13. Overflow Wetlands (Roswell Resource Area)

T. 11, 12 S., R. 25, 26 E.

DATES: Comments on the proposed visitor restrictions will be accepted until February 23, 1995. Comments received or postmarked after the above date may not be considered in the decision-making process on the final rule making.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to the Roswell District Office, 1717 West 2nd, Roswell, New Mexico 88201, Telephone: (505) 627–0272. All written comments made pursuant to this action will be made available for public inspection during normal business hours (7:45 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., MST) at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Happel, Natural Resource Specialist, BLM, Roswell District Office, 1717 West 2nd Roswell, New Mexico 88201, Telephone: (505) 627–0203.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Roswell District Manager is establishing these visitor restrictions, which are necessary for the protection of persons, property, and public lands and resources currently under the Bureau's administration within the Roswell District, New Mexico and those lands acquired for inclusion within the administrative jurisdiction of the BLM as provided for in 43 CFR 8365.1-6. These Visitor Restrictions apply to all persons using public lands. Violations of these restrictions are punishable by a fine not to exceed \$1,000 and/or imprisonment not to exceed 12 months. Exceptions to the following visitor restrictions may be permitted by the authorized officer subject to limits and restrictions of controlling Federal and State law. Persons granted use exemptions must possess written authorization from the BLM Office having jurisdiction over the area. Users must further comply with the zoning, permitting, rules, or regulatory requirements of other agencies, where applicable.

Dated: January 13, 1995.

#### Leslie M. Cone,

District Manager.

[FR Doc. 95–1617 Filed 1–23–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–FB–M

### Fish and Wildlife Service

Notice of Availability of the Draft Sharon Steel Damage Settlement Restoration Plan: A Concept Document, and Public Informational Meeting for its Review

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior Department.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability and public informational meeting.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) announces release of the draft Sharon Steel Damage Settlement Restoration Plan: A Concept Document (Concept Plan) for public review. The Concept Plan covers the Service proposal to fund cooperative projects to restore natural resources injured as a result of hazardous materials released from the Sharon Steel Superfund Site, Midvale, Utah. The Concept Plan details the primary steps toward achievement of restoration as-(1) definition of restoration targets in terms of species and habitats, (2) development of criteria for identifying and ranking projects, (3) identification of restoration tools and solicitation of cooperative project proposals, (4) identification and ranking of restoration project proposals, (5) implementation of selected project(s), and (6) long-term monitoring.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before March 31, 1995. ADDRESSES: Requests for copies of the Concept Plan may be made to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Salt Lake City Field Office, Lincoln Plaza, 145 East 1300 South, Suite 404, Salt Lake City, Utah 84115.

Written comments or materials regarding the Concept Plan should be sent to the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert D. Williams, Assistant Field Supervisor, or Brandt Gutermuth, Environmental Contaminants Program, at the above Salt Lake City Field Office address (telephone 801/524–5001).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### **Background**

A \$2.3 million damage settlement was awarded to the U.S. Department of the Interior (DOI) in compensation for injuries to federal protected trust resources along the Jordan River, Utah, caused by Sharon Steel and Midvale Slag Superfund sites. Under Federal law, these trust resources are specifically protected on behalf of the public and include migratory birds, as well as threatened and endangered species and their habitats.

Consequently, Sharon Steel damage settlement money must be used to restore, replace or acquire the equivalent of the trust resources injured on site and by contaminants from the site.

The DOI and the State of Utah signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) July 11, 1991, to cooperate as trustees in planning and implementing resource restoration with Sharon Steel settlement money. The MOU establishes a Trustee Committee consisting of representatives from DOI and the State of Utah to plan and direct restoration activities.

The Trustee Committee outlined the following project goals: (1) To restore, replace, enhance, or acquire appropriate natural, functioning habitats along the Jordan River corridor for the benefit of identified trust resources; (2) to ensure that funds are utilized to provide maximum benefits for trust resources; and (3) to ensure the provision of benefits to trust resources in perpetuity. Restoration alternatives to meet these goals are identified. These alternatives included (a) no-action or natural recovery, (b) restoration on the Sharon Steel/Midvale Slag sites, and (c) Jordan River corridor replacement/ enhancement of habitat for trust resources. Because of its protective and relatively cost effective nature, replacement/enhancement of resources in the Jordan River corridor was chosen as the preferred alternative for enhancement of wetland and riparian migratory bird habitats.

The primary steps toward achievement of project restoration goals were subsequently identified as (1) definition of restoration targets in terms of species and habitats, (2) development of criteria for ranking and selecting projects, (3) identification of restoration tools or activities and solicitation of cooperative project proposals, (4) ranking and selection of specific restoration projects (cooperative proposals) and/or sites, (5) implementation of selected project(s), and (6) monitoring of the project(s) to ensure long-term viability.

The Sharon Steel Damage Settlement Restoration Plan: A Concept Document (Concept Plan) was subsequently drafted to lend guidance in the process and to establish sideboards to guide Jordan River restoration. The Concept Plan proposes to accomplish trust resources restoration by selecting and funding cooperative projects that will be implemented in partnership with State or Federal agencies, county or local governments, or nonprofit organizations. Close cooperation among all programs in the Jordan River corridor

(e.g., Central Utah Project, Jordan River Parkway plans, Jordan River Subbasin Watershed Management Council, etc.) will ensure cost-effective expenditure of public funds, increase success of all programs, and provide maximum benefits to the Jordan River ecosystem.

Specific restoration project proposals will be identified, reviewed, and ranked according to the following 13 defined ranking criteria:

- 1. Restoration of Trust Resources
- 2. Location of Restoration Project(s)
- 3. Ownership/Management
- 4. Surrounding Land Use
- 5. Size of Individual Projects
- 6. Restoration Longevity
- 7. Project Cost/Benefits
- 8. Project Hazards—Attractive Nuisance Issues
- 9. Cooperative Projects
- 10. Natural Recovery Potential
- 11. Annual Maintenance Requirements
- 12. Compliance with Applicable Laws and Regulations
- 13. Other associated ranking factors: Threat of additional trust resource loss

Public Health and Safety Community Acceptance

The highest-ranked projects will be referred to the trustee committee for final review and selection for implementation. Ultimately, a restoration plan, which includes selected cooperative projects for implementation, will be developed. A National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) environmental assessment (EA) will be prepared which addresses the cumulative potential environmental impact of all funded Sharon Steel restoration projects. Public review of the concept and restoration plans and input on NEPA issues will be solicited through the Federal Register and scoping meetings. Public review of the Final Concept Plan and accompanying request for project proposals will be solicited through local notices. Cooperators will work together with the Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) and the State of Utah to implement projects.

Management in perpetuity for wildlife will be ensured by Concept Plan stipulations which require land/wildlife management plans for each project proposal and deed restrictions, which stipulate future land uses and return of lands to DOI if cooperative agreements and management plan objectives are not upheld. Monitoring of sites will be performed by the Service to document project progress, to ensure compliance with management objectives and deed restrictions, and to measure timely success in the restoration of trust resources.

Interested members of the public are invited to review and comment on the Concept Plan. In Utah, copies are available for review at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Ecological Services Office in Salt Lake City (see ADDRESSES section), the Utah Department of Environmental Quality, Division of **Environmental Response and** Remediation (168 North 1950 West, Salt Lake City), and the Salt Lake City Library. The Service also is soliciting input regarding the timeframe for preparing and submitting project proposals once the Concept Plan is finalized and a request for proposals has been advertised.

A public informational meeting will be held to explain the Concept Plan and to answer questions. The meeting will be held in the Commission Chambers, at the Salt Lake County Government Center—South Building, 2001 South State Street, Salt Lake City, Utah, on Wednesday, March 8, 1995, at 7:30 p.m. All written and public meeting comments will be considered and addressed in the final Concept Plan.

Dated: January 18, 1995.

### Terry T. Terrell,

Deputy Regional Director. [FR Doc. 95–1706 Filed 1–23–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–55–M

# INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AGENCY

**Agency for International Development** 

### Announcement of Draft Interim Guidelines for Fiscal Year 1996 Title II Development Project Proposals

Pursuant to the Agricultural Trade and Development Act of 1990, notice is hereby given that the draft Interim Guidelines for Fiscal Year 1996 (FY 96) Public Law 480 Title II Development Project Proposals are being made available to interested parties for the required thirty (30) day comment period.

Individuals who wish to review and comment on the draft guidelines should contact: Office of Food for Peace, room 323, SA–8, Agency for International Development, Washington, DC 20523–0809. Contact person: Sheila Royston, (703) 841–2707.

The thirty day comment period will begin on the date that this announcement is published in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: January 13, 1995.

#### H. Robert Kramer,

Director, Office of Food for Peace, Bureau for Humanitarian Response.

[FR Doc. 95–1688 Filed 1–23–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6116–01–M

# INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Finance Docket No. 32652]

### Chicago SouthShore & South Bend Railroad—Trackage Rights Exemption—Norfolk and Western Railway Co.

Norfolk and Western Railway Company (NW) has agreed to amend the overhead trackage rights granted to Chicago SouthShore & South Bend Railroad (CSS), in *Chicago SouthShore* & South Bend Railroad—Trackage Rights Exemption—Norfolk and Western Railway Company, Finance Docket No. 32392 (ICC served Nov. 15, 1993). The trackage extends over a portion of NW's line as follows: beginning at a point on NW's trackage from the connection between the tracks of CSS and NW in the vicinity of 124th Street near Kensington, to the connection between NW and the Illinois International Port District (Port), near 130th Street, in Chicago, IL, a total distance of approximately 2 miles.1

The amended transaction was scheduled to become effective January 11, 1995.

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7). If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction. Pleadings must be filed with the Commission and served on: Jo A. DeRoche, 1350 New York Ave., NW., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20005–4797.

As a condition to the use of this exemption, any employees adversely affected by the trackage rights will be protected under *Norfolk and Western Ry. Co.—Trackage Rights—BN*, 354 I.C.C. 605 (1978), as modified in *Mendocino Coast Ry., Inc.—Lease and Operate*, 360 I.C.C. 653 (1980).

Decided: January 17, 1995.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The amended trackage agreement will remove restrictions concerning commodities and service to customers located within the limits of the Port.